Examiner   Art Unit   Art Unit	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants:  (1) Amelia A. Owens  (2) Jeffrey Tidwell.  (3)		10/718,337	BORCHARDT ET AL.	
All Participants:  (1) Amelia A. Owens. (2) Jeffrey Tidwell. (3)  (2) Jeffrey Tidwell. (4)  Date of Interview: 4 April 2005 Time:  Type of Interview: 4 Telephonic		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Amelia A. Owens. (2) Jeffrey Tidwell. (4)  Date of Interview: 4 April 2005 Time:  Type of Interview: 4 Telephonic		Amelia A. Owens	1625	
(2)	All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
Date of Interview: 4 April 2005  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Telephonic   Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:   35 USC 112 2nd    Claims discussed:   5,7,9    Prior art documents discussed:   none    Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:    Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER	(1) Amelia A. Owens.	(3)		
Type of Interview:    Telephonic   Video Conference   Applicant   Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Jeffrey Tidwell</u> .	(4)		
Telephonic   disconference   description:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 4 April 2005	Time:		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 2nd  Claims discussed: 5,7,9  Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	<ul> <li>☐ Telephonic</li> <li>☐ Video Conference</li> <li>☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant</li> <li>☐ Applicant's representative)</li> <li>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> </ul>			
Claims discussed: 5,7,9  Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner proposed 35 USC 112 rejection of claims. Counsel requested rejection in writing.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER	•			
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part II.	`		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER				
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  AMELIA AVERILL OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part III.			
PRIMARY EXAMINER	of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
(Evaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				
(Evaluation of Foldiatrie) (Annicalination in Annicalination of Centerelliance Circulatrie — if annicollists)				